

CI 62 B Morgan

Q1 Whatever is the best way to tighten up classification and eliminate the objectionable material.

Q2 To protect the most vulnerable in our society from the distorted and dehumanising material now peddled as 'adult' or 'sophisticated.'

Q3 I presume content easily accessed by pc's should be severely restricted because of the inability to know who is viewing it and at what age.

Q4 No. Surely it is not beyond the wit of competent adults to judge what is or isn't acceptable. Waiting for complaints allows objectionable material to be widely distributed before it's possible banning.

Q5 Of course. Of course.

Q6 No. A thing is either objectionable or it isn't. If it is objectionable then it should not be distributed.

Q7 Yes. Calling something art doesn't suddenly make it different and above normal standards of morality and acceptability.

Q8 Yes. I am reminded of the appalling crassness and crudeness of Kevin 'Bloody' Wilson type of 'entertainment'.

Q9 Of course. Just as a tiny scratch on a finger can lead to a serious illness if neglected, so too can material that is supposedly confined spread quickly thro' the community.

Q10 Once again of course. These things don't stay in a confined area but can quickly and easily spread thro' the community. On a personal note I once worked on a mine site where on night shift the digger operator would play the puerile coarse 'humour' of Kevin Bloody Wilson for the length of a ten hour shift. Try stomaching that for each fortnightly night shift for a year. It would be enough to turn the most avid Wilson fan into a porn prohibitionist. Incidentally I read a few years later that the same digger operator who was a bikie had been peripherally involved in the bikie murder of ex WA policeman, Don Hancock. What goes around, comes around.

Q11 The effect upon the most vulnerable, particularly the youth.

Q12 I presume prosecuting the creators of the stuff if in Australia as well as those circulating it and if it is from o'seas blocking it. If our security services can block access to their sites, I presume similar can be done to sites coming into the country.

Q13 Warning parents and by the authorities blocking the stuff if possible.

Q14 I dont know, that's up to the technical experts, but surely in this tech savvy age it can be done.

Q15 When it breaches the stringent guidelines.

Q16 Government should ban the stuff and ensure it has the technical means to stop it and prosecute offenders.

Q17 I dont know, but whatever is most efective.

Q18 Whatever is degrading to the humanity of people or so explicitly violent as to desensitize people to violence.

Q19 Very little subsidizing. It is a loophole for soft porn peddlers to soften up the community for later more explicit material.

Q20 No.

Q21 What is the sense of a variety of classifications? It wouldn't be too long before someone would find a loophole in one of them to peddle objectionable material.

Q22 See above.

Q23 God knows. Is it beyond the wit of government with all its bureaucracy and our money to establish a set of simple criteria easily understood by the average Joe.

Q24 Porn, gross violence, sexually explicit material, whatever offends or is likely to offend sensitive personalities; particularly the vulnerable personalities of children and teenagers.

Q25

Q26 Yes. I'm a great believer in state's rights, but in this case it strikes me there should be common agreement.

Q27 Despite my observation immediately above there should always be an opt out clause to ensure state's are not overruled by a corrupt central government decision.

Q28 See my answers to 27 and 28.