

Questions ALRC

1. Yes I would like the ALRC to develop new and more stringent guidelines for the protection of our children and all people. Explicit and implicit violence and pornographic material should not be allowed into any form of media where it can easily be accessed. Especially not suggestive soft porn on free to air tv.
2. The primary objective of a national scheme would be the protection of society. It is well documented that violence and pornography lead to family and relationship breakdown and this leads to the breaking down of the social fabric of our society. Morality, Integrity, honour, trust, truthfulness, caring for others and not just ourselves are some of the main social structures that hold good communities together firmly, the guidelines need to protect all people from any viewing that would undermine these.
3. All content needs a classification regardless of the platform. It is a moral responsibility to protect innocent young people from the degrading material that is pushing its way into every form of media.
4. No all material needs to be classified.
5. All potential impact should be classified - classifying after the horse has bolted is ridiculous.
6. NO, classification is for the protection of people first, they are the most important and only concern.
7. Yes all forms of media should be classified
8. Yes all forms of media should be classified
9. NO
10. NO
11. Anything that degrades the human experience and breaks the highest standards of Morality, honesty, honour of each other, integrity, trust, love (not lust), truthfulness should be keep out of Australia.
12. A national filter on the internet.
13. No possible access by children through the most stringent controls possible.
14. Sexually explicit print media should be banned in Australia
15. Anytime material breaks the standards of question 11
16. Government should implement the strictest controls and enforce huge penalties for the release of media that breaks **the rules the first time**. Industry bodies should have to maintain the rules and report others who do not, Users should have a less complicated system to report breaches.
17. Industries usually do a poor job of self regulation, greed gets in the way, it should be an independent body who works with the government guidelines.
18. All media should be classified
19. NO the government should not be involved in any form of classification as this may be seen as a conflict of interest as they should be the protectors of the people.
20. There seems already to be confusion over the 17+ and up range with pornography and explicit material creeping into media, late night tv is also a problem, just because it is late at night is not a control measure.
21. All the categories are good but 18+ should be abolished. It is the guidelines for the categories should be reviewed to make a more stringent system not less as seems to be the case.
22. Simple effective stringent legislation with heavy penalties for breaches
23. As above yes if it means they will be made more stringent, simple and effective
24. Anything over an M
25. The guidelines should reflect my answer to question 24
26. Yes should be uniform classification, nationally legislated if it is going to take a tougher stance than at the present time.
27. As above
28. Serious national legislation is required, it is such an important issue that stringent uniform guidelines are needed
29. As above.