

CI 42 M Watts

First name: michael

Last name: watts

Q1: improve on existing framework

Q2: protect children

Q3: no

Q4: no

Q5: yes and yes

Q6: no

Q7: yes some artworks should

Q8: yes due to language and video clips

Q9: no it should all be classified

Q10: no

Q11: I believe all content should be classified. The problem is not the classification as this provides info as to the content

Q12:

Q13: why online look? at in cinemas where an 8 year old can see an MA movie with a parent or guardian.

Q14: Fine as is, in plastic wrapping

Q15: I think all content for the public should have classification guides

Q16: To work with responsible parents and not make it difficult for them as it does now

Q17:

Q18: Non-mainstream content

Q19: No

Q20: Yes

Q21: Not sure

Q22: Use the same classifications and indicate why eg, v, l, d etc

Q23: probably yes

Q24: child exploitation, real images of torture or cruelty etc

Q25: unsure

Q26: yes it is important

Q27:

Q28: yes

Q29: see other comments

Other comments: Why can an 8 year old see an MA15+ movie with a parent but a 14 year old can't -even with personal parental consent? Nor can a 15 year old without ridiculous levels of ID? I live in Tasmania and most students do not have or require photo id's so they can't see an MA movie unless accompanied strictly by a parent at all times. You should not allow any under 13 year olds to see MA movies even with a parent. You should accept a parents word that a child is 15, and not only accept photo id or birth certificate as evidence. You make it hard for parents and are not protecting children properly. What is the point of MA15+ then? I can elaborate if necessary.

File 1:

File 2: