

CI 36 L Chong

First name: leslie

Last name: chong

Q1: right now, the classification system is inconsistent. the MA15+ doesn't have is not definite. i don't think a whole new framework is needed but a lot of work is needed on the current framework

Q2: getting R18+ to be an official classification rather than just refusing to classify games deemed "unfit"

Q3: no it shouldn't, classification should be uniform on all technology or platform. plus it's easier that way.

Q4: no, all contents should be initially classified by ALRC or whoever in charge of classification. then if there is a large complaint from the general public on the decisions made, then the content should be reconsidered

Q5:

yes the potential impact should affect whether it should be classified, but please, please, please do not stereotype people but use accurate, real facts and figures. please. ALSO ask opinions from the people that the classification of the content will directly affect, not some group of randoms. content designed for anyone should be classified, especially for children.

Q6:

Q7: only if it is really explicit. otherwise maybe a sign or initial warning should be sufficient

Q8: well...yes..? a warning on the CD/DVD cover or on itself should be enough

Q9:

Q10:

Q11: the majority public opinion

Q12:

Q13: a family button which disables every page it deems unfit for children. BUT please make it so that it is accurate in estimating the "fit-ness" of the content for the children.

Q14:

erm.....it can't? unless you want to deploy manpower to check every house....hah...im kidding. don't do that. never.  
well you could regulate which shop sells those sexually explicit magazines and have them make a record of purchases. then i guess review them every month or so.

Q15: always....? isn't that common sense?

Q16:

government agencies are the deciders and enforcers. HOWEVER, they should also take into account the users opinions! if majority of users disagrees with what the government agency is doing, then clearly they are doing something wrong.

Q17: yes, that would be good too

Q18:

Q19: well.....yes i guess. for example if the said small independent films likes to make films that justify something "unfit", then shouldn't their films have a rating to warn the viewers.

Q20:

Q21: no, just R18+ should be introduced instead of RC. the current system is fine, just needs a R18+ in there.

Q22: have a universal one?

Q23:

Q24: don't any content is that bad that it should be entirely prohibited online. i also think banning something entirely will cause some uproar.....CHILD PORNOGRAPHY! dont think anyone will justify it not being banned...

Q25: no....RC almost seems like the classification board can't be bothered in reviewing the content and just throws it in that classification

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: if the questions are not answered then either i cannot think of an answer or i am not sure what it is asking.