

CI 236 E Raynor

First name: Evan

Last name: Raynor

Q1:

I think we need an entirely new framework, as the current system is not adequate

Q2:

To accurately classify all media types for commercial use with all appropriate age groups

Q3:

No i don't think so

Q4:

No, i think all content should be classified - no matter whether or not a complaint ends up being made.

Q5:

I don't think it should affect whether it should be classified or not, i think that impact should just affect the rating itself

Q6:

No, that has nothing to do with how the consumer will be affected, so it should make no difference

Q7:

Art is one of those things that shouldn't be classified. Any consumer advice should be provided by the gallery/exhibitor

Q8:

I think music should have the same classification system as other media. If the nature of the lyrics reach the extent where they need restrictions for the public, then so be it

Q9:

No. It shouldn't matter

Q10:

Only if by public consumption the content may be released to consumers that would not normally have access

Q11:

Q12:

I have no idea. In terms of completely restricting any content from a certain user base, it would be very difficult - but at the same time i don't think anything (bar the extreme, or morally wrong content) should be restricted from adults. How you would make that distinction for an online community is

beyond me.

Q13:

Again (like question 12) i don't know. I think it has to come down to parent restrictions / use of locking software. As soon as you go as far as IP blocking or anything, you're shutting off entire computers from content, not just users.

Q14:

BETTER controlled? Again i don't know, the systems i've seen in place are the only ones i can really think of. At some point it has to come down to the seller - maybe just making harsher penalties for selling to minors

Q15:

To be honest i think all content should just in general. Not at specific times.

Q16:

Government agencies should be there to install systems that regulate content - but not by their own decision. They represent the public, and their decisions should reflect that. Industry bodies should be there to offer advice in terms of how content should be regulated - their experience in the area(s) should always be noted. As for users - well in the long run the users should have the biggest say in how content is regulated, at least in regards to whether content just should not be classified at all.

Q17:

Q18:

I think any content that would come to an M rating or below

Q19:

Q20:

As far as i'm aware they are. The only thing would possibly be MA15+ being RESTRICTED to 15 and over. But working in the film industry, i may be a little biased in regards to who understands what.

Q21:

There is an urgent need for an R18+ category for video games - this rating has been needing installation into the system for many years now. In my opinion, i don't think any other categories should be removed or merged at this point, as i think they all serve a purpose.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

I think the extremes (which are illegal anyway) - like child pornography etc.

Q25:

No, it needs to be altered along with the rest of the system

Q26:

I think it's a bit strange that there isn't a consistent application of ratings etc through the various territories, i think it should be a nation wide system. In terms of promotion - all i can think of is promotion of a unified nation

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

I think the reliance of input / agreement from the Governor Generals is a joke. There needs to be a method of improving / adjusting existing framework that is easier and involves much less "debate" - especially when there is a huge amount of support from the public (for example, the recent polls for R18+ classification to be installed for video games). The fact that such a large number of the public can be vocal about it, yet still have years of discussion and political avoidance is just ridiculous.

Other comments: