

CI 204 S Jahnke

First name: Stephen

Last name: Jahnke

Q1:

Just improve the existing elements to make it current and relevant.

Q2:

to make it current and relevant to the current media development environment

Q3:

No. classification should be based on content not delivery.

Q4:

No. content should be viewed and classified based on established guidelines set up by a government body, not an interest group or religious organisation.

Q5:

Yes. (to both questions)

Q6:

No. Content is content, regardless of potential market, or those who are selling it.

Q7:

A warning to assist in the choice of an individual to view confronting material might be a good thing. But exclusion of confronting material only leads to the "dumbing down" of a society, and that is a very bad thing. The caveat being that art depicting the sexualisation of children should never be allowed.

Q8:

yes. again based on established guidelines set up by a government body, not an interest group or religious organisation.

Q9:

No. Content is content, regardless of potential market, or those who are selling it.

Q10:

No. Content is content regardless of delivery.

Q11:

The sexualisation of children should never be allowed.

Q12:

Education and Parenting. Warning people of confronting material assists them in making the choice to

view it or not. Blanket restriction is not the answer.

Q13:

Parenting. This is something each individual family must address as to what sort of content is viewable online for children.

Q14:

warnings on the cover pertaining to the content.

Q15:

When a government body confirms inappropriate content, it should then warn consumers about this and give individuals the choice to view it or not.

Q16:

content makers and government agencies should warn of confronting content, but allow users to have a choice to view it or not.

Q17:

I think that this model would over complicate things. the best idea is to keep it simple. the current arrangement has its flaws, but if these were addressed then i see no reason to alter what is already in place.

Q18:

each individual should be allowed to make this choice for themselves. blanket classification is never the way. however sexualisation of children should never be allowed.

Q19:

The government should take care of the classification process.

Q20:

We need an "R" rating in the video games market, however other classification categories are satisfactory.

Q21:

We need an "R" rating in the video games market.

Q22:

once the guidelines and criteria have been established then marking across all delivery types need to be of a standard nature.

Q23:

yes.

Q24:

the sexualisation of children.

Q25:

yes

Q26:

we should have federal classification that is the standard across the country. state and territory should not have classification laws.

Q27:

we should have a federal classification scheme that is the standard across the country.

Q28:

Yes as above, we should have a federal classification scheme that is the standard across the country.

Q29:

If a federal classification scheme was established this would be a great improvement.

Other comments: