

CI 200 B Ambrose

First name: Ben

Last name: Ambrose

Q1:

Improve the current and make it consistent across all media (including video games). The current system is clear, it just needs to be broadened and made consistent.

Q2:

To introduce one clear and consistent set of classification standards that apply to all media types.

Q3:

No. Regardless of platform, content will invariably fall into a specific category for its appropriateness for viewing. One standard set of classifications is sufficient. Consistency across platforms and media has to be a key objective, currently parents that are not technically savvy cannot assess whether a MA15 game is suitable for a 15yo because currently we do not have an adult classification so the vast majority of "adult" games get jammed into there.

Q4:

No. One rule for all, make it consistent

Q5:

No. One rule for all, make it consistent. Making judgements on this is subjective at best and best done by the child's parents. With a clear consistent classification scheme you give the right tool to the parent to make an informed decision.

Q6:

No. One rule for all, make it consistent.

Q7:

Yes classified for consumer advice but NOT CENSORED

Q8:

Yes

Q9:

No. One rule for all, make it consistent.

Q10:

Yes, this should be about classification not censorship

Q11:

Classify all you want don't turn this into censorship

Q12:

There aren't any

Q13:

BY THEIR PARENTS! If their parents don't know how, maybe they should talk / hire a technology expert to help them.

Q14:

The sealed packaging that is used should suffice. Parental supervision is the most affective.

Q15:

When its been classified it should be shown

Q16:

classification not censorship.

Q17:

No. For the sake of consistency classification has to be from the one body. But they need to have industry experts involved.

Q18:

G

Q19:

No this should be handled through other schemes

Q20:

Yes, for the most part. The current rating scheme is clear. The only confusion arises as it is not consistently applied. This means some media channels have R ratings, while others don't. Consequently, this means that some content that might attract an R rating in one medium might only be MA in another to ensure it is classified. This should not happen, but clearly does

Q21:

Yes. Make it clearer and easier to understand, especially in the adult categories.

G - General,

PG - Parental Guidance (13+),

M - 15+,

R - with the VSL subcategories (Violence, Sex, Language),

R+p - maybe separated for pornography

Q22:

If the classification across all media was consistent there would be no need for this question. Make them consistent!

Q23:

The classification across all media and platforms should be consistent nationally.

Q24:

This cannot be done effectively and is about censorship not classification

Q25:

There should be no refused classification, if it's legal to create it should be legal to view by adults, no matter how individuals feel about the content morally. If you are offended by the material don't consume it. And this cannot be done effectively online.

Q26:

Yes. One rule for all, the classification across all media and platforms has to be consistent

Q27:

The classification across all media and platforms should be consistent nationally.

Q28:

The classification across all media and platforms should be consistent nationally. It makes no sense to have separate schemes and it actually makes it harder for parents to assess their child's access to particular media.

Q29:

.

Other comments:

All media should be classified

File 1:

File 2: