

CI 195 B Shipp

First name: Baden

Last name: Shipp

Q1:

I believe a new framework is required

Q2:

To bring the classification of video games in line with other forms of entertainment, introducing an R18+ category and reviewing the current guidelines for awarding an MA 15+ rating

Q3:

No, all content should carry some form of classification and those classifications should be uniform in the way that the classification decision is made.

Q4:

No, a better idea might be to allow industry self classification with a government review of a classification if a complaint is received.

Q5:

Yes but only if the "potential" can be reasonably proven by independent studies. Content for children should be classified across all media.

Q6:

No

Q7:

Yes but only to provide consumer advice, and the same should also be done for other forms of entertainment.

Q8:

Yes in the interest of providing consumer advice and providing a consistent classification scheme to all media.

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

I believe that industry self regulation should be the way forward with the government providing rules

and guidelines for classification and being able to intervene when a complaint is raised and handing out fines if a complaint results in a product needing its classification changed.

Q12:

Parental supervision.

Q13:

Parents need to take responsibility for their own children to ensure that they instal software that blocks adult content (eg net nanny) AND try taking an active interest in what their kids are not only doing online but what TV shows and Movies they watch, what games they play and what music they are listening to. My boys at their current age love having dad involved.

Q14:

The current controls are pretty good, my only complaints would be overly sexualised advertising and the proliferation of sex shops.

Q15:

Primarily at the point of sale. For items that are digitally distributed there should be warnings at the start of the content.

Q16:

Industry should self regulate accoring to rules handed down by government agencies who complete spot checks and investigate user complaints and take severe action against companies that break the rules.

Q17:

YES.

Q18:

All content

Q19:

None

Q20:

No, the community does not understand that an M or MA15+ game is classified against a different set of standards to a M or MA15+ movie or why there ois no R18+ catagory for video games.

Q21:

Yes there should be an R18+ catagory for video games and the content currently allowable under M and MA15+ needs to be reviewed.

Q22:

They should be more consistant across all forms of media

Q23:

Yes, games should be classified using the same guidelines and categories as film.

Q24:

Anything that depicts the actual deliberate harm of people or could cause such harm, eg child porn or instructions on making explosives

Q25:

for films Yes For games No

Q26:

Yes, classification should be national to maintain consistency.

Q27:

A federal classification scheme controlled by the federal government

Q28:

If the states cannot agree on making progressive changes to a consistent and uniform scheme that treats all content equally.

Q29:

Through consistent guidelines across all types of media. Industry self regulation, and ignoring minority interest groups such as the ACL.

Other comments: