

CI 194 M O'Donoghue

First name: Michael

Last name: O'Donoghue

Q1:

The ALRC should focus on developing a new framework for classification.

Q2:

To include a R18+ rating for video games sold in Australia.

Q3:

No. All content should be classified under one system.

Q4:

No. In order to minimise any bias on the part of interest groups, all content should be classified.

Q5:

It is difficult to gauge the potential impact of any content, so the people in charge of this system would have to use their imagination. And I don't think that's a good idea at all.

Q6:

Not unless the content has an educational value, in which case something like a documentary or training video should be exempt.

Q7:

Not at all.

Q8:

No, music's offensiveness begins and ends with offensive references. A warning label will suffice.

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

There are none. Torrenting is widely and freely used, so the best method of 'control' is to have as much content available online in HD definition at a low or negligible price to the consumer.

Q13:

This shouldn't be the government's responsibility. Children's access to potentially inappropriate content begins and ends with parenting. It isn't the government's fault that you let your child have his/her own computer and don't supervise their content viewing.

Q14:

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

Q21:

Yes. an R18+ rating for video games. Others can remain the same.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Child pornography. However, it would be impossible to prohibit it entirely, and by doing so would only affect those that wouldn't want to access that content in the first place. This issue requires more police operation rather than filtering the internet.

Q25:

No. Many video games are refused classification on the basis that they are too violent, yet other developed countries deem it fair to let the users decide.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: