

CI 187 R Moran

First name: Ruari

Last name: Moran

Q1:

New framework, one which includes an R18+ rating.

Q2:

To create a classification framework which more accurately reflects the standards which are used worldwide and doesn't discriminate based on type of media.

Q3:

No, a book can be just as confronting as a film or a game. They all deserve equal classification on a range of criteria.

Q4:

Sure, that would be especially helpful for things like Iphone Apps where the amount being generated isn't easily classified by the amount of staff on hand.

Q5:

Potential impact is a misleading term. It's the parents responsibility to manage what content children have access to and to assess it's "potential impact". All content should be classified across all media.

Q6:

Not in the slightest. If you are approving a product simply because it's a big company's or it is likely to garner a large profit then that is the sign of a corrupt system.

Q7:

Personally I think not, but people will complain either way.

Q8:

No more than they already are.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

Anything relevant to the content under consideration?

Q12:

Poorly worded question so I don't quite get your meaning, but if you are asking for advice for controlling online content then you are barking up the wrong tree. Let the internet moderate itself and keep children away from it if its so damaging.

Q13:

Get them off the computer. Get the parents to do some work. Get net nanny or one of 100 programs designed to lessen the impact of the internet. Buy a book for them. Turn on the TV - is it really so hard to understand?

Q14:

It's controlled perfectly fine, I never saw an explicit magazine growing up. Once again the fault is with the parents not the system, nanny state no thank you.

Q15:

Whenever relevant?

Q16:

Government: As little as possible. Industry: Where relevant. Users: Where relevant.

Q17:

yes.

Q18:

Books, artworks, Iphone apps, music.

Q19:

Sure why not.

Q20:

MA and M obviously since most people think they are the same thing.

Q21:

R18+ move M into MA and put PG as PG 13, then everything below that is G. You know, a system which makes sense?

Q22:

Colours and criteria seem straight forward it's just the current limitations which are the issue.

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

None, I would say Child Pornography but I don't trust the government to prohibit anything with a level of competence that I can rely on.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

Not necessarily, I think it just distracts from greater national issues.

Q27:

Deliberately ambiguous question is deliberately ambiguous? I mean, come on. Is it so hard to write these forms so that anyone without an undergraduate degree in politics can understand them?

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

By introducing an R18+ rating for games.

Other comments: