

CI 160 A Rodenburg

First name: Alexander

Last name: Rodenburg

Q1:

improving key elements of the existing, videogames already have a classification system, just add onto it

Q2:

to add an R18+ classification and to take pressure off of stores and more on parents

Q3:

no

Q4:

everything should have a classification

Q5:

yes

Q6:

no, if everything is classified, then there is no problems for anyone. people will have more of an understanding of what things are and can decide what is appropriate for themselves

Q7:

sure, why not. if people get offended of nude/macabre pieces of art, they have the right to be warned. but you cant go and stick a big R sticker on it. and dont forget, the rating should be ADVICE not a rule or law. you cant stop a 13 year old from seeing a violent piece of art if their parent is ok with it.

Q8:

they already are aren't they?

they have a good enough classification, against swearing. there is not enough grounds for having a fully fledged classification on the content of music.

Q9:

no

Q10:

no, again. classification should be a guide not a rule or law

Q11:

if it has the potential to offend someone (on reasonable grounds i.e. children being slaughtered in a

painting=potentially offensive and people should be WARNED not TOLD that they should not see it if easily offended

Q12:

do not restrict any of it. it is impossible to do anyway.

Q13:

by their PARENTS. if parents explain the inappropriate content and tell them why they shouldn't look at it or why they're not allowed to. or even if parents put their own blockers or made consequences for it. it is NOT your responsibility as to what children do.

Q14:

if the sellers of them don't sell them to kids then their shouldn't be a problem.
why is there a problem of kids looking at nudey mags anyway?

Q15:

when they are in a public place. i.e on a movie poster, on the window of a shop, on the packaging etc.
don't be retarded pls

Q16:

WARNING, warning, WaRNinG!!!!!!!!!!!!!! that is ALL

Q17:

most probably yes! smartest question/suggestion ive read so far

Q18:

well they're not going to classify a chocolate bar are they? that would be stupid, if they're going to classify a horror movie they SHOULD classify it, it could have lots of gore or it could be purely psychological and have no actual violence at all (that would come under adult themes.

Q19:

yes, if a small film company is trying to release their movie, they couldn't afford to get their content classified and so it would be able to be released, so help should be given

Q20:

the M and MA are a tiny it'sy bitsy confusing. otherwise people definitely know and widely regard the recommendation of the ratings. some just disregard it because they know that it's just a recommendation on the content and that they can judge what they think is suitable for themselves

Q21:

no, it's all good homeboy

Q22:

have them based on themes like amounts of violence, nudity, relevance to the age group

Q23:

yes

Q24:

child porn, rape porn etc things that are already ILLEGAL, dont make up dumb shit against things like violent cartoons

Q25:

i dont know what is included in that list but im sure that if it is reviewed there would definitely be rules that could follow on.

Q26:

i think it is and it could be promoted by having one department under the Australian government.

Q27:

nothing

Q28:

no

Q29:

just dont make stupid decisions, think like a normal human being trying to raise a child to have experiences and not to be afraid of every single little thing. dont make Australia a nanny country, kids these days are pathetic enough because of their pathetic parents, dont be pathetic, let people make their own decisions

Other comments:

the government works for the people, all we want is to know a little about the content of what we are purchasing before we get it.

we dont need the government making our decisions for us or surrounding us with sponge to stop us from grazing our knees of the sidewalk when we crash.

just stop being a bunch of pathetic arseholes