

CI 125 T Hill

First name: Travis

Last name: Hill

Q1:

Developing a new framework, which includes R18+ classification.

Q2:

Q3:

No.

Q4:

No.

Q5:

If designated for children, yes.

Q6:

No. Market reach should not be a factor. There needs to be equality for all in the system.

Q7:

Define artwork. There are many forms. But restriction on basis of classification should be in the hands of the exhibition organisers, not the government.

Q8:

Yes and no. I believe that music/audio content should be rated but not restricted from purchasing by law.

Q9:

Yes.

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

Target audience of the content.

Q12:

No. The Internet SHOULD NEVER BE RESTRICTED OR CENSORED ON ANY LEVEL BY THE GOVERNMENT AS IT IS A GROSS INVASION OF FREEDOM AND RIGHTS. With the exception of Schools/Public departments.

Q13:

This isn't a job for the government, its the responsibility of parents to monitor their child/ren's activity online. With the exception of Schools and that can be done with a filter.

Q14:

Its already controlled fine.

Q15:

If its any from of media that is being distributed/bought.

Q16:

To be fair to all forms of content and have the value of freedom as a the precipice of any decision.

Q17:

To be practical their would be a R18+ classification.

Q18:

No. Likelihood is an opinionated decision. Not a professional one.

Q19:

Q20:

They are well understood.

Q21:

An R18+ classification for gaming and all other forms of media should be introduced or merged into pre-existing classification.

Q22:

Make all markings 1 (they all look the same no matter what their on) standardised type for all formats. Simple.

Q23:

Yes, consolidated to include an R18+ rating.

Q24:

THEIR SHOULD AND SHALL NEVER BE ANY FORM OF CONTROL BY ANY GOVERNMENT OF THE ENTIRE WORLD PERIOD IN RELATION TO THE INTERNET!

Q25:

AS STATED ABOVE!

Q26:

Simple, make a federal standard for classification laws.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: