

First name: Peter  
Last name: L

Q1: Improving the existing framework.

Q2:

To allow video games to be rated 18+ and have strict rules enforcing prevention of sale of mature video games to children.

To have a consistent rating system throughout Australia.

Q3: No.

Q4: Yes.

Q5: Yes and yes.

Q6: Yes.

Q7: No.

Q8: Yes.

Q9: Yes.

Q10: Yes. Public content needs to be classified, however private content viewed at home shouldn't need to be.

Q11: It's target audience.

Q12: If somebody wants content online, they will be able to get it one way or another. Restricting everybody's access because a select few shouldn't be viewing is not right.

Q13: Having a parent in the room with them. Other than direct over-the-shoulder monitoring, there is nothing that can be done.

Q14: It is the parent's responsibility, not the government's.

Q15: When it is not fit for children.

Q16: I don't know.

Q17: I don't know

Q18: Children's content because it is quite easy to distinguish. Also R18+ content, for the same reason.

Q19: I don't know

Q20: Many people do not know there is a difference between M15+ and MA15+.

Q21: Remove MA15+ and replace it with R18+.

Q22: Not sure.

Q23: I don't understand the question.

Q24: None.

Q25: No.

Q26: It is important. Perhaps make it regulatory for the rules to be the same across all states?

Q27: One with an R18+ rating for video games.

Q28: Yws

Q29: Do not let religion interfere with politics.

Other comments: