

CI 568 C Masters

First name: Chris

Last name: Masters

Q1:

The ALRC should develop a new classification framework

Q2:

A fair classification of titles ranging from C1+ all the way up to R18+ both video titles (ie tv shows, movies, etc) and electronic games

Q3:

No, it would be unfair to block classification because of a medium

Q4:

No, because who knows what people could buy, a dvd may have fluffy kittens on front and inside hard core porn or something that may offend or be considered inappropriate

Q5:

No

Q6:

No, all content should be fairly judged equally

Q7:

Yes and no, art is in the eye of the beholder. Some people may find something offensive (ie nudity, etc) others may differ

Q8:

Yes, Ratings provide information about the content

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

N/A

Q12:

better parenting

Q13:

Better parenting and 3rd party software NOT a national internet filter

Q14:

Bigger penalties for parties how sell age restricted material to persons under age

Q15:

When the material is not suitably for "everyone"

Q16:

Regulate content

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Nope

Q20:

Q21:

R18 for video games

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Q25:

Q26:

no.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Younger and more informed people making the decisions

Other comments:

All that needs to be done is make a R18 cat for video games. thats all, the current classification system in Australia is alright, there are some things here and there that may need tweaking, but when you play an online game and hear some of the stuff a 12 year old kid screaming profanity down the microphone, its annoying, but some MA video games are far too violent for just a MA especially for under 15 but they just slipped under the MA bracket, not only that games are being denied classification that are meant for adults.