

CI 502 B Everard

First name: Ben

Last name: Everard

Q1:

Q2:

To categorize content that will likely be consumed by a large number of people via a broadcast-style medium.

Q3:

Yes, if the technology used to access content requires the user to seek out and retrieve the content, the onus should be on the consumer to select content suitable to their needs. I'm not going to give an adult book to my 4 year old regardless of its classification.

Q4:

Yes.

Q5:

No, and no, there would be too many exceptions. Content designed for children should be reviewed by the parent. Government departments should avoid parenting tasks lest they be asked to babysit on Saturday night.

Q6:

Yes, of course, unless every tweet, group email, or loudly spoken personal opinion is to be classified.

Q7:

No, as long as the works are not visible to people outside the gallery.

Q8:

Yes, it's the medium that should determine whether classification is required.

Q9:

Yes, in addition to the medium used.

Q10:

No, there would be too many exceptions around content that is accessible from multiple locations. If something is to be broadcast to a substantial number of people, as opposed to requested, it should be classified.

Q11:

Q12:

Nothing short of brain implants would stop a suitably motivated individual from accessing content they desire. Similar strategies would be required to control access to rainfall.

Q13:

Consumer level classification services provided by internet service providers or pc software vendors as an optional, value-add feature.

Q14:

Education, base-level parenting.

Q15:

When it is available for viewing without payment.

Q16:

To provide classification services to content producers who are required or wish to have their content classified, and to enforce regulation on broadcast-style media.

Q17:

Possibly.

Q18:

Q19:

Yes.

Q20:

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Q25:

No.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: