

CI 1740 S Schwietzke

First name: Sasha

Last name: Schwietzke

Q1:

Improve - Why don't you just adopt the PEGI system? <http://www.pegi.info/en/index/> . It is ridiculous that a country this size bothers when 90% of the content is o/s derived anyway.

You could get rid of a whole lot of public servants at the same time

Q2:

Guidance to people about what they will see /feel in a product. Guidance to parents about what their children will see if they are allowed to see same

Q3:

In general no, Even though some technologies are more accessible to young people, technology will always be ahead of regulation, so it is best to have it as broad as possible

Q4:

yes. There should be a focus on making classification a frictionless part of the market. Adding costs to the legitimate part of the entertainment business only makes piracy more attractive.

Q5:

If it is content designed for children then the content developers should classify it. As I said in 1, adopting the PEGI system would be the most logical system

Q6:

no, all content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify

Q7:

no more than currently. If you're talking about Bill Henson's paintings - I'd allow my 5yo to see them, the only thing she'd see was pretty girls whether they were nude or not - it is the controversy which makes them seem dirty - if you classify these things, you should also be putting skirts on table legs like in the victorian era.

Q8:

There should be guidance about the use of swear words. I can't see that regulating would do any good apart from for pirates

Q9:

all content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Q10:

all content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content -

this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Q11:

all content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Q12:

Self classification and using social media to criticise producers who don't meet the standards

Q13:

By their parents. More awareness raising that it is their responsibility

Q14:

By their parents. More awareness raising that it is their responsibility

Q15:

Always

Q16:

all content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Q17:

Yes - all content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Q18:

All content - should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Q19:

No all content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Q20:

yes

Q21:

We should use the European classification standards (not US because they under rate violence)

Q22:

use European standards

Q23:

yes - use European standards -

Q24:

Anything that shows kids or animals being abused

Q25:

yes

Q26:

it doesn't matter - the internet will transgress them, if we're lucky the states will soon fade away into obscurity

Q27:

Short law which says we adopt the EU system

Q28:

yes

Q29:

All content should be self classified against international standards such as PEGI. There should be an appeal process, which forces a formal classification process, paid for by the producers of the content - this creates a disincentive for producers to under-classify. Government agencies and industry bodies should loudly criticise producers who do not do the right thing - in the internet age reputation attack is the quickest way to censor content providers who do the wrong thing

Other comments:

A market driven system should be developed.

Self classification of all content against European rules.

Complaints against the classification should result in the content being classified on appeal by the federal government or similar independent body.

Punitive costs imposed where classification is seen to be too low