

CI 1692 M Valayutham

First name: Murali

Last name: Valayutham

Q1:

Improving key elements of the existing framework

Q2:

Q3:

No, technology and platform used to access the content should not affect classification.

Q4:

No, all content should undergo classification in order to give the end user an idea of what the content is.

Q5:

Potential impact should not affect if a content should be classified, all contents should be classified and end user should make the decision of the impact to them.

Q6:

No, sizing and market reach should not be a factor.

Q7:

Yes.

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

Yes.

Q11:

All content should be classified to provide a standardized mechanism for user to make decisions on.

Q12:

Parents should put in parental controls on their platform/technology used to access online content.

Q13:

Parents should put in parental controls on their platform/technology used to access online content.

Q14:

Classify them and have them off in a section which is classified for adults.

Q15:

All contents should display classification marking, and warning if applicable.

Q16:

Rather than regulating the content, it should be classified accordingly and as informative as possible for the users.

Q17:

Q18:

Yes, and also display an avenue for users to complain if the classification is wrong.

Q19:

Government should subsidize content classification based on the production cost i.e. small movie makes would have a smaller budget and therefore would appreciate govt subsidy.

Q20:

Need more ads in traditional/online media to inform users about classifications.

Q21:

Q22:

There should be a standardized classification for all content.

Q23:

Yes.

Q24:

Q25:

No.

Q26:

Yes, consistency between state and territory and between states are important. There should be a standard classification across the states and union to promote it.

Q27:

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

Other comments: