

CI 333 J Cull

First name: Jason

Last name: Cull

Q1:

Create a new framework, or extend the current framework. Currently the lack of appropriate age groups is not working for the Australian population.

Q2:

To appropriately advise the age recommended for the use/viewing of this material.

Q3:

No.

Q4:

Yes - it is impossible to categorise all content, especially in the digital age.

Q5:

Yes as General viewing.

Q6:

yes. Too much effort and money is spent on the speciality markets that is not generally available to the public. Protecting children is the right reason to have these classifications. Protecting adults from themselves is not.

Q7:

No. Artwork and creative material should not be classified.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

Q10:

Yes - any "restricted" access material that is only to be accessed out of the public arena should not be classified the same as public display material

Q11:

Q12:

Parental control and education. No technology exists that will prevent access to restricted material

Q13:

education and parental control. As above there is no technology that can stop all access to inappropriate material.

Q14:

Education. Restricted viewing/selling areas, as it is now.

Q15:

In public viewing areas

Q16:

Ultimately users should be responsible for what they view. There is no reason the government needs to create a big brother or nanny state when it comes to consenting adults and restricted material.

Guidelines are appropriate, but stopping adults playing certain computer games or viewing material in the privacy of their own home is ludicrous

Q17:

Yes absolutely

Q18:

All material should be industry classified, with a watchdog type committee to ensure this regulation is working

Q19:

Yes absolutely.

Q20:

The fact there is no R rated category for games will never be understood. NVE is also a misnomer, when the classified materials act prevent other material being viewed.

Q21:

yes -

G 12+ 15+ R and Erotica.

Q22:

standardisation of all categories and markings

Q23:

yes absolutely.

Q24:

Child pornography. Bestiality. All other material that is between consenting adults should be allowed.

Q25:

No. Many things that are banned are practiced and watched by the general Australian community.

Q26:

Yes. All states should be able to sell adult entertainment. This idea that the territories have different laws so only NVE can be sold out of the territories is ludicrous as well.

Q27:

A simple laymen's term bill that explains what is required. This legalise crap that we get nowadays that is so open to interpretation is not helping at all.

Q28:

Unknown, as I do not know the current states stand on classifications. Currently having one state saying they will never allow Violent video games and stopping the classification review is not working.

Q29:

Other comments:

allowing adults to view/use material that does not hurt anyone is the future of classifications. The restricting of material is simply making criminals of every day Australians. This is not the way forward for our country. How many Australians buy adult videos from the territories even though it is illegal to do so locally. Or import computer games from overseas? Prohibition has never worked, and in the day of the technology age, we simply are stopping any classification system from working effectively.