

CI 325 D Callan

First name: Dan

Last name: Callan

Q1:

New framework, in line with that of other countries

Q2:

Appropriate and uniform classification of all forms of content. G through to R18 on every platform.

Q3:

No. Every platform should have the full range of classifications. Children and adults both use various platforms.

Q4:

No

Q5:

ALL content (for children AND adults) should be classified. There needs to be an appropriate range of classification available to do this.

Q6:

No. This is irrelevant. All content should be classified.

Q7:

This question is too vague for thoughtful response.

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No.

Q11:

Q12:

Controlling access to electricity and telecommunications.

Q13:

Better parenting. You cannot expect technology to spare you from awkward conversations. Children will always end up accessing this content somehow and simply trying to thwart this only makes it more desirable. Educate them properly on why it is inappropriate and supervise their online access

(by logging).

Q14:

Seriously?

Q15:

When it has been classified as not appropriate for certain ages.

Q16:

Not sure

Q17:

Not sure

Q18:

Not sure

Q19:

Small indie films is a good example.

Q20:

No.

Q21:

R18 category is needed in EVERY platform of content.

Q22:

Acknowledge the fact that perceived audiences based on platform and format are irrelevant now. Everyone accesses content on every available medium, platform and technology, and from any given country that it is available from. You need to find some level of consensus with international classification trends.

Q23:

YES!!! We have only been telling you this for over a decade now?? There are perfectly valid R rated games that just need an R rating. Ironically some of these games even get released here as M instead sometimes.

Q24:

Evidence of criminal victimization. Anything else should simply be classified appropriately.

Q25:

Absolutely NOT. It is currently abused by those who choose to hide pure censorship behind the obtuse loophole of inadequate classification framework.

Q26:

State law consistency is not something Australia is very good at so I wouldn't expect too much.

Q27:

A sensible scheme that has zero input from right wing lobby groups.

Q28:

Yes.

Q29:

By actually IMPROVING and not just having endless rounds of "review" that stall progress.

Other comments:

I appreciate that you are trying to be thorough but I must say that even this submission process has been yet another example of how obsification and bureaucracy are constantly used to thwart any progressive evolution in our outdated classification system.

Please prove me wrong and actually DO SOMETHING this time.