

CI 307 A Aziz

First name: Ammar

Last name: Aziz

Q1:

New framework for classification that includes a R18+ category.

Q2:

To objectively classify media (video games, movies, etc) correctly as to properly inform and advice consumers on the type of media that is for sale.

Q3:

No, all content independent of the platform should be classified.

Q4:

No.

Q5:

Yes. Classification should be independent of impact. Yes all media for children be classified

Q6:

No.

Q7:

Only for providing consumer advice

Q8:

Yes.

Q9:

No

Q10:

No

Q11:

none

Q12:

Q13:

By parent supervision.

Q14:

By including more appropriate categories (i.e 18+ rating) for all media.

Q15:

When it's for sale. All content, irrespective of classification, should have clear classification markings, warnings and consumer advice.

Q16:

Governments need to strictly enforce the categories similar to alcohol and tobacco sale (i.e major fines, random tests, can not buy for a minor for a category above the minors age). Industry bodies should abide by the laws, informing parents that buying GTA china town for the DS for their children is not appropriate as it is not a game for children.

Q17:

Possible if properly designed and run.

Q18:

Q19:

If it the classification is still done in an objective manner than yes as long as it is to support local industry.

Q20:

none. They are all easily understood however it is possible that more education is needed (and maybe some fines to get people to start understanding and self educating).

Q21:

Yes. R18+ category for non-children computer games, which do exist.

Q22:

Q23:

Yes

Q24:

child pornography.

Q25:

No.

Q26:

Yes, by a federal organisation classifying objectively media.

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments:

Education, new category R18+ and laws will keep children safe, not the outright refused classification or incorrect classification of media content.