

CI 301 S Lyne

First name: Sam

Last name: Lyne

Q1:

In America, the classification board for video games is separate from those of other entertainment media. This could be a route to take to provide better management of games titles, allowing better classification by those in the industry that know about gaming.

Q2:

To provide a new classification for video games, that being the R18+ category. This will allow better definition and classification of titles.

Q3:

No. You can't rate a platform. This is a ridiculous question. Do you classify a TV as MA15+ because there is the possibility of violence appearing on its screen? Absurd.

Q4:

No. The purpose of a classification board is to classify the content accordingly, so the consumer better understands it.

Q5:

No. Such as content concerning Q7, there is a place for government classifications. Apart from that, it falls to the parents to ensure what their children are able to view.

Q6:

No.

Q7:

No. Parents and guardians should know whether or not the artworks or exhibitions are suitable for their child. This is not the government's place.

Q8:

No. These have been appropriately classified for years, with the warnings such as 'STRONG LANGUAGE'.

Q9:

No.

Q10:

No. Where-ever that content is being viewed shouldn't affect its classification.

Q11:

Q12:

Q13:

Better parental guidance.

Q14:

Better training for employess/employers dealing in such content.

Q15:

Q16:

Q17:

Q18:

Q19:

Q20:

Q21:

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

Q25:

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

Other comments: