

CI 288 T Cook

First name: Timothy

Last name: Cook

Q1:

Yes

Q2:

To ensure that adults can access games for which are intended for adults, in addition expanding the rating system to R18+ so that parents can get a better understanding of what games are suitable for their children or not.

Q3:

no. With the nature of the digital world, platform now does not matter when coming to a conclusion on ratings.

Q4:

When it comes to online rating yes, when it comes to games purchasing, no. I think all games/movies should be rated through RFLC

Q5:

Yes

Q6:

no

Q7:

no, art is art, it is an expression of what someone feels and thusly i think an element of caution should be taken when observing art. If you find something you dont like, you simply dont have to view it.

Q8:

Yes, some books should be rated.

Q9:

Yes

Q10:

No

Q11:

availability to the general community

Q12:

opt in filters, done at the user end (ie home PC) and other method would be easily avoidable.

Q13:

Through better parenting. People not parenting their children should not be the ones calling for increased censorship, this is a joke. Parents need to parent, this will ensure that reasonable internet browsing habits can be developed.

Q14:

you cant, its impossible.

Q15:

at the R18 level

Q16:

simply to classify material.

Q17:

possibly, if the industry itself had its own standard, game developers could work to a standard they wish to achieve, in effect streamlining the entire operation.

Q18:

games, movies

Q19:

no

Q20:

no. At the moment games which are rated MA15+ are considered fine for 'minors' to play. Many parents would disagree, the inclusion of an R18+ rating for games would leave no doubt in the mind of parents as to the suitability for certain games to be played by minors. Modern Warfare 2 is a prime example of this.

Q21:

R18+ added. And remove MA15+, change it to M15

Q22:

use the same as the film and video game classification

Q23:

yes

Q24:

Child pornography. Banning terrorist organisations is a slippery slope as the word terrorist is largely subjective. these sights should be monitored but not banned.

Q25:

no

Q26:

It should be a national standard, however more power to the states that go it alone.

Q27:

introduction of an R18+ classification

Q28:

yes

Q29:

Other comments: