

CI 283 W Moore

First name: William

Last name: Moore

Q1:

Either.

Q2:

To classify content so that people, including parents, can make an informed choice of what they, or their children, watch, read or play.

Classification should NOT be used as a form of censorship.

Q3:

Q4:

Q5:

Q6:

Q7:

No.

Q8:

No.

Q9:

Q10:

Q11:

Q12:

None. It is technically unfeasible to completely block any content that is available on the internet.

Q13:

By educating parents to monitor their children.

Q14:

Restricted offline content is already controlled.

Q15:

What kind of content do you mean?

Q16:

Ideally content should not be regulated by a government agency. Industry bodies and users should be regulating content.

Q17:

Yes.

Q18:

All content.

Q19:

Q20:

I think the classification system is understood by most of the general public.

Q21:

The "Refused Classification" category should be removed. Most RC Content is legal to own in most of Australia, and content that harms another human being to produce, like child pornography, can be made illegal through legislation.

Q22:

Q23:

Q24:

None. See question 12.

Q25:

No. I do not agree with the concept of the "Refused Classification" category.

Q26:

Q27:

Q28:

Q29:

The mandatory classification system should either be abolished, or made voluntary.

Other comments:

I don't believe in censorship in any form, and find it abhorrent that the government can control what media we can consume, and the fact that Australia has no R18+ rating for games makes this country a laughing stock internationally.