

CI 113 A Klahn

First name: Andrew

Last name: Klahn

Q1: Yes as the classification for Video Gaming is old and needs to be brought into line with current standards to allow for R18+ rating like motion pictures. When the original classification system for video gaming was created it assumed gaming was focused on children not adults who now game more as the age of the average video game player is close to 30 yrs old and beyond. Adults should have access to games they like and also Adults should have the ability to know what games are rated to judge the suitability for their children.

Q2: To allow adults to make judgements of the materials both Video Games and Motion pictures and other media for the suitability to minors and themselves. Which is the reason we need R18+ for Video Gaming as it allows adults to make better judgement calls.

Q3: This isn't something that can easily be done for the internet and I wouldn't think it necessary due to the private nature of the viewing at home but for other media such as Video Gaming and Motion pictures should be classified but again the system needs to be fixed to introduce an R18+ for video games.

Q4: Yes. A lot of time and money would be saved if content creators self classified and only content that was complained about required further investigation.

Q5: The content should be self classified

Q6: The content should be self classified

Q7: The content should be self classified

Q8: The content should be self classified

Q9: The content should be self classified

Q10: The content should be self classified

Q11: If the content has had a complaint lodged against it.

Q12: There isn't an effective method - The problem is you can go classify the online content all you want and put in the filters you like at the ISP end but there will always be a way around - Eg the current filtering the government trailed will be circumvented within 5 mins of it been introduced all by a simple VPN ...you can't ban VPNs because very major business, bank and Education institute use one.

Q13: Parenting is the best and only way to control childrens access to online inappropriate material. As I said above any filtering system will be circumvented within minutes of it's deployment.

Q14: As it is now R18+ and covered display.

Q15: yes

Q16: to give guidelines and advice to consumers not to censor the content to the point of the artist

work been destroyed.

Q17: yes it would be way more effective and viable from from costing point of view with less minority pulling their own agenda on other people.

Q18: none other than classify for advice purposes only.

Q19: yes it should along with the classification of Video Games especially Australian produced works!

Q20: Video Gaming classification is confusing as content that would be R18+ rated (in the movie classification point of view) is been put into the MA15+ level and any video game that does not fit the MA15+ is put in Refused Classification even though these would get through on a motion pictures classification scheme. That's why we need an R18+ in Video games as the current system is too old and does not cover the video gaming area currently.

Q21: R18+ for Video games. Reason been Video Gaming classification is confusing as content that would be R18+ rated (in the movie classification point of view) is been put into the MA15+ level and any video game that does not fit the MA15+ is put in Refused Classification even though these would get through on a motion pictures classification scheme. That's why we need an R18+ in Video games as the current system is too old and does not cover the video gaming area currently.

Q22: All media including video gaming should be classified under the current system used for Motion picture movies. It makes more sense having one clear system of classification guidelines than 2 that we currently seem to have!

Q23: yes as long as they do not remove MA15+ level of classification and add R18+ classification - this is a better solution as the removal of MA15+ for R18+ would just be the same situation as we are in now!

Q24: no I do not agree with this statement .. Only prohibited online content should be child abuse materials.

Q25: yes.

Q26: yes it should be one classification for all of Australia it makes sense and it should be promoted by the federal government and the Classification board through media and cinemas

Q27: one Classification scheme for all states and territories.

Q28: It should be at Federal Level with an oversight body.

Q29: As stated add an R18+ classification for video Games but do not remove the MA15+ classification at the same time. Video games should have the same classifications as motion picture movies.

Other comments:

File 1:

File 2: